



ANIRIT VENTURES Limited

(formerly Flora Textiles Limited)

Whistle-blower Policy

1. Introduction:

Anirit Ventures Limited (“the Company”) believes in the conduct of the affairs of its constituents in a fair and transparent manner by adopting highest standards of professionalism, honesty, integrity and ethical behaviour. Towards this end, the Company has adopted the Code of Conduct (“the Code”), which lays down the principles and standards that should govern the actions of the Company and its employees. Any actual or potential violation of the Code, howsoever insignificant or perceived as such, would be a matter of serious concern for the Company. The role of the employees in pointing out such violations of the Code cannot be undermined.

Any Anirit employee can choose to make a protected disclosure under the whistle-blower policy of the company, providing for reporting to the chairperson of the audit committee or the board of directors or specified authority. Such a protected disclosure shall be forwarded, when there is reasonable evidence to conclude that a violation is possible or has taken place, with a covering letter, which may bear the identity of the whistle-blower.

The company shall ensure protection to the whistle-blower and any attempts to intimidate him/her would be treated as a violation of the Code”.

Section 177 (9) of the Companies Act, 2013 read with Rule 7 of the Companies (Meeting of Board and its Powers) Rules, 2014 and pursuant to Regulation 22 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements), Regulations 2015 mandates the Company to establish a vigil mechanism for Directors and Employees to report genuine concerns. The purpose of this policy is to provide a framework to promote responsible and secure whistle blowing. It protects the Directors and Employees wishing to raise a concern about serious irregularities within the Company and to create awareness amongst employees to report instances of leak of unpublished price sensitive information.

Further, principles governing disclosures and obligations under Regulation 4 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 requires the listed entity to devise an effective whistle blower mechanism to enable stakeholders, including individual employees and their representative bodies to freely communicate their concerns about illegal or unethical practices.

Though Regulations 17 to 27 of the (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 (“Listing Regulations”) are not applicable to the Company, this Policy has been voluntarily adopted to ensure accountability and transparency in its internal operations.

2. Objective:

The objective of this document is to provide clarity to the management team, employees and stakeholders with respect to the definitions of what may be considered as bribery, expectations of the Company and consequences of non-compliance.

This Policy sets forth, minimum compliance standards with respect to interactions with third parties. However, where local law, regulations or local or Business Unit internal policies require more stringent controls, then such more stringent controls must be followed.

3. Scope:

This Policy is an extension of the Anirit Code of Conduct. The Whistle-blower's role is that of a reporting party with reliable information. They are not required or expected to act as investigators or finders of facts, nor would they determine the appropriate corrective or remedial action that may be warranted in a given case.

Whistle-blowers should not act on their own in conducting any investigative activities, nor do they have a right to participate in any investigative activities other than as requested by the Ethics Counsellor or the Chairman of the Audit Committee or the Investigators.

Protected Disclosure will be appropriately dealt with by the Ethics Counsellor or the Chairman of the Audit Committee, as the case may be.

4. Definitions and Abbreviations:

The definitions of some of the key terms used in this Policy are given below. Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning assigned to them Under the Code;

"Audit Committee" means the Audit Committee constituted by the Board of Directors of the Company in accordance with Section 177 of the Companies Act, 2013 and read with Regulation 18 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015.

"Employee" means every employee of the Company (whether working in India or abroad), including the Directors in the employment of the Company.

"Code" means the Anirit Code of Conduct.

"Investigators" mean those persons authorized, appointed, consulted or approached by the Ethics Counsellor/Chairman of the Audit Committee and includes the Auditors of the Company and the Police.

"Disciplinary Action" means any action that can be taken on the completion of or during the investigation proceedings including but not limiting to a warning, imposition of fine, suspension from official duties or any such action as is deemed to be fit considering the gravity of the matter.

"Protected Disclosure" means any communication made in good faith that discloses or demonstrates information that may evidence unethical or improper activity.

"Subject" means a person against or in relation to whom a Protected Disclosure has been made or evidence gathered during the course of an investigation.

"Whistle-blower" means an employee or director making a Protected Disclosure under this Policy.

5. Responsibilities/ Eligibility:

All employees and directors of the Company are eligible to make Protected Disclosures under the Policy. The Protected Disclosures may be in relation to matters concerning the Company.

6. Disqualification:

While it will be ensured that genuine Whistle-blowers are accorded complete protection from any kind of unfair treatment as herein set out, any abuse of this protection will warrant disciplinary action.

Protection under this Policy would not mean protection from disciplinary action arising out of false or bogus allegations made by a Whistle-blower knowing it to be false or bogus or with a mala fide intention.

Whistle-blowers, who make three or more Protected Disclosures, which have been subsequently found to be mala fide, frivolous, baseless, malicious, or reported otherwise than in good faith, will be disqualified from reporting further Protected Disclosures under this Policy. In respect of such Whistle-blowers, the Company/Audit Committee would reserve its right to take/recommend appropriate disciplinary action.

7. Procedure:

7.1 All Protected Disclosures concerning financial/accounting matters should be addressed to the Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Company for investigation.

7.2 In respect of all other Protected Disclosures, those concerning the Ethics Counsellor and employees at the levels of Vice Presidents and above should be addressed to the Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Company.

7.3 The contact details of the Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Company are as under:

- **Chairman of the Audit Committee:**

Mr. Suvir Singh
454, Solani Puram, VTC, Roorkee District, Haridwar, Uttarakhand – 247667

7.4 If a protected disclosure is received by any executive of the Company other than Chairman of Audit Committee, the same should be forwarded to the Company's Ethics Counsellor or the Chairman of the Audit Committee for further appropriate action.

Appropriate care must be taken to keep the identity of the whistle-blower confidential.

7.5 Protected Disclosures should preferably be reported in writing so as to ensure a clear understanding of the issues raised and should either be typed or written in a legible handwriting in English, Hindi or in the regional language of the place of employment of the Whistle-blower.

7.6 The Protected Disclosure should be forwarded under a covering letter which may bear the identity of the whistle-blower. The Chairman of the Audit Committee / Ethics Counsellor, as the case may be, shall detach the covering letter and forward only the Protected Disclosure to the Investigators for investigation.

7.7 Protected Disclosures should be factual and not speculative or in the nature of a conclusion, and should contain as much specific information as possible to allow for proper assessment of the nature and extent of the concern and the urgency of a preliminary investigative procedure.

7.8 The whistle-blower may disclose his/her identity in the covering letter forwarding such Protected Disclosure. Anonymous disclosures will also be entertained. However, it may not be possible to interview the whistle-blowers and grant him/her protection under the policy.

7.9 Investigation:

- All Protected Disclosures reported under this Policy will be thoroughly investigated by the Ethics Counsellor / Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Company who will investigate / oversee the investigations under the authorization of the Audit Committee. If any member of the Audit Committee has a conflict of interest in any given case, then he/she should recuse himself/herself and the other members of the Audit Committee should deal with the matter on hand. In case where a company is not required to constitute an Audit Committee, then the Board of directors shall nominate a director to play the role of Audit Committee for the purpose of vigil mechanism to whom other directors and employees may report their concerns.
- The Ethics Counsellor / Chairman of the Audit Committee may at its discretion, consider involving any Investigators for the purpose of investigation.
- The decision to conduct an investigation taken by the Ethics Counsellor / Chairman of the Audit Committee is by itself not an accusation and is to be treated as a neutral fact-finding process. The outcome of the Model investigation may not support the conclusion of the whistle-blower that an improper or unethical act was committed.
- The identity of a Subject will be kept confidential to the extent possible given the legitimate needs of law and the investigation.
- Subjects will normally be informed of the allegations at the outset of a formal investigation and have opportunities for providing their inputs during the investigation.
- Subjects shall have a duty to co-operate with the Ethics Counsellor / Chairman of the Audit Committee or any of the Investigators during investigation to the extent that such co-operation will not compromise self-incrimination protections available under the applicable laws.
- Subjects have a right to consult with a person or persons of their choice, other than the Ethics Counsellor / Investigators and/or members of the Audit Committee and/or the whistle-blower. Subjects shall be free at any time to engage counsel at their own cost to represent them in the investigation proceedings.
- Subjects have a responsibility not to interfere with the investigation.
Evidence shall not be withheld, destroyed or tampered with, and witnesses shall not be influenced, coached, threatened or intimidated by the Subjects.
- Unless there are compelling reasons not to do so, Subjects will be given the opportunity to respond to material findings contained in an investigation report. No allegation of wrongdoing against a Subject shall be considered as maintainable unless there is good evidence in support of the allegation.

- Subjects have a right to be informed of the outcome of the investigation. If allegations are not sustained, the Subject should be consulted as to whether public disclosure of the investigation results would be in the best interest of the Subject and the Company.
- The investigation shall be completed normally within 45 days of the receipt of the Protected Disclosure.

7.10 Protection:

- No unfair treatment will be meted out to a whistle-blower by virtue of his/her having reported a Protected Disclosure under this Policy. The Company, as a policy, condemns any kind of discrimination, harassment, Model victimization or any other unfair employment practice being adopted against whistle-blowers. Complete protection will, therefore, be given to whistle-blowers against any unfair practice like retaliation, threat or intimidation of termination/suspension of service, disciplinary action, transfer, demotion, refusal of promotion, or the like including any direct or indirect use of authority to obstruct the whistle-blower's right to continue to perform his/her duties/functions including making further Protected Disclosure. The Company will take steps to minimize difficulties, which the whistle-blower may experience as a result of making the Protected Disclosure. Thus, if the whistle-blower is required to give evidence in criminal or disciplinary proceedings, the Company will arrange for the whistle-blower to receive advice about the procedure, etc.
- A whistle-blower may report any violation of the above clause to the Chairman of the Audit Committee, who shall investigate into the same and recommend suitable action to the management.
- The identity of the whistle-blower shall be kept confidential to the extent possible and permitted under law. Whistle-blowers are cautioned that their identity may become known for reasons outside the control of the Ethics Counsellor / Chairman of the Audit Committee (e.g. during investigations carried out by Investigators).
- Any other Employee assisting in the said investigation shall also be protected to the same extent as the whistle-blower.

7.11 Investigators:

- Investigators are required to conduct a process towards fact-finding and analysis. Investigators shall derive their authority and access rights from the Ethics Counsellor / Audit Committee when acting within the course and scope of their investigation.
- Technical and other resources may be drawn upon as necessary to augment the investigation. All Investigators shall be independent and unbiased both in fact and as perceived. Investigators have a duty of fairness, objectivity, thoroughness, ethical behaviour, and observance of legal and professional standards.
- Investigations will be launched only after a preliminary review which establishes that :
 - the alleged act constitutes an improper or unethical activity or conduct, and
 - either the allegation is supported by information specific enough to be investigated, or matters that do not meet this standard may be worthy of management review, but

investigation itself should not be undertaken as an investigation of an improper or unethical activity.

7.12 Decision:

If an investigation leads the Ethics Counsellor / Chairman of the Audit Committee to conclude that an improper or unethical act has been committed, the Ethics Counsellor / Chairman of the Audit Committee shall recommend to the management of the Company to take such disciplinary or corrective action as the Ethics Counsellor / Chairman of the Audit Committee deems fit. It is clarified that any disciplinary or corrective action initiated against the Subject as a result of the findings of an investigation pursuant to this Policy shall adhere to the applicable personnel or staff conduct and disciplinary procedures.

7.13 Reporting

The Ethics Counsellor shall submit a report to the Audit Committee on a regular basis about all Protected Disclosures referred to him/her since the last report together with the results of investigations, if any.

7.14 Retention of documents

All Protected Disclosures in writing or documented along with the results of investigation relating thereto shall be retained by the Company for a minimum period of seven years.

8. Amendment:

The Company reserves its right to amend or modify this Policy in whole or in part, at any time without assigning any reason whatsoever. However, no such amendment or modification will be binding on the employees and directors unless the same is notified to the employees and directors in writing.

9. Reference document(s):

- Section 177 of the Companies Act, 2013
- Regulation 4 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations 2015
- Regulation 22 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations 2015

10. Associated Document (s)

None

11. Annexures

None

===== End of Document =====